CLCS Review Item Disposition

1. Initiator	LAST NAME FIRST		2. Type of Review	3. RID Number
Name	Ken Hale		General Document Review	00200-152
Organization	NASA, PK-E1			00200 132
Organization			PDR, CDR, ABR , PPR (circle)	
Phone	861-3795		Other	
Fax			_	
5a. Doc. Number	84K00200	6. Doc. Name System	Level Specification (SLS)	
5a. Doc. Revision	Pre-Release 1		•	
	11c-Release 1			
6. Name of RID Te	am SLS RI	D Review Team		
7. Problem				
Today, all application programs are basically copied from one TCID to the next one being built. This is OK if the same programs are used for different flows. However, the capability to build a TCID based on the flow requirements (i.e. vehicle, pad, IMU type, etc.) may be needed. Especially with Shuttle upgrades, vehicles may be in different configurations.				
8. Recommendatio	n			
Clarify how CLCS will include application programs in a TCID.				
Hardcopy of Redlines/Comments Attached				
			☐ Hardcopy of Red	dlines/Comments Attached
0.1.416			Hardcopy of Rec	dlines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recom	mendation not implemented		☐ Hardcopy of Red	dlines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recom	mendation not implemented		☐ Hardcopy of Red	dlines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recom	mendation not implemented		☐ Hardcopy of Red	Illines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recom	mendation not implemented		☐ Hardcopy of Rec	dlines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recom	mendation not implemented		☐ Hardcopy of Red	dlines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recom	mendation not implemented		☐ Hardcopy of Rec	Illines/Comments Attached
9. Impact if recom	mendation not implemented			Submission Date
9. Impact if recom			Hardcopy of Rec	
10. Team Recomm	endation		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required	
10. Team Recomm	endation epted		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document	
10. Team Recomm ☐ Acce X Reject	endation epted eted		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm ☐ Accee ☒ Reject ☐ Stud	endation epted cted y		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce Reject Study	endation epted cted y drawn		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Stud With	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen	ning Panel	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce Reject Stud	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen	ning Panel	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Study With Defe	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen	ning Panel	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Stud With	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen	ning Panel	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Study With Defe	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen	ning Panel	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce Reject Study With Defectorm See Attachm	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen nents		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce Reject Study With Defectorm See Attachm	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce Reject Study With Defectorm See Attachm	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen nents		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Study With Defe Comm See Attachm RID Team Manager	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen nents nent.		Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify)	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Study With Defect Comm See Attachm RID Team Manager 12. Final RID Clo	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen nents eent. Signature	xt revision	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify) Comments	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Study With Defect Comm See Attachm RID Team Manager 12. Final RID Clo	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen nents nent.	xt revision	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify) Comments	Submission Date
10. Team Recomm Acce X Reject Study With Defect Comm See Attachm RID Team Manager 12. Final RID Clo	endation epted cted y drawn rred to CLCS CCB Screen nents eent. Signature	xt revision	Initiator - Signature 11. Action Required Update Document Study Other (specify) Comments	Submission Date

Response Attachment 200-152

1. The problem statement and recommendation relate to requirements that are needed to support User Application SW development. This version of the SLS does not cover User Application SW requirements. The RID is therefore rejected. Thank you for reviewing the SLS and submitting your RID. Even though we rejected this RID, your input is valuable and we appreciate it. We will use this idea in future development of the appropriate specifications.